

# BBNJ-IGC II Nereus side event

# Cooperating with existing institutions related to fisheries management

25 March 2019 Solène Guggisberg





### **Objectives of ILBI BBNJ**

- Objectives
  - Ensure the conservation and sustainable use of BBNJ
  - As a common concern of humankind (CBD)
  - Protect the interests of all States
- Non-universal stakeholder representation
  - UNFSA: open to all, but fishing-oriented membership
  - RFMOs: participation biased towards user-States
- Different in other sectoral regimes
  - Mining/ISA: 168 Member States; Council with interest groups and equitable geographic representation
  - Shipping/IMO: 174 Member States; Council with interest groups and equitable geographic representation



#### **Institutional weaknesses**

- Gaps in coverage, which will negatively impact
  - ABM tools
  - EIAs, in particular cumulative impact assessments
- Under-performing regime
  - Various causes, some not under the control of RFMOs
  - Performance reviews: a positive measure
  - No supervisory body to review implementation of recommendations



## **Ways forward**

- Continue as is
  - Will negatively impact potential success of ILBI BBNJ
- Fully include fisheries in ILBI BBNJ institutional set-up
  - Substantively covered
  - Coordinated by a central ILBI BBNJ body (hierarchy?)
- Improve existing fisheries management and institutional set-up in another forum
  - UNFSA: amendment (art 45); or creative use of review procedure (art 36)
  - Ad hoc: UNDOALOS; UNGA; FAO; another body



# Thank you

s.a.guggisberg@uu.nl